

# EXHIBIT 7

1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
3           EASTERN DIVISION

4                   -   -   -

5  
6           IN RE:   NATIONAL                   :   HON. DAN A.  
7           PRESCRIPTION OPIATE           :   POLSTER  
8           LITIGATION                   :     
9                   :     
10          APPLIES TO ALL CASES           :   NO.  
11                   :   1:17-MD-2804  
12                   :   

13                   - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -

14           SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW

15                   VOLUME I

16                   -   -   -

17                   April 17, 2019

18                   -   -   -

19                   Videotaped deposition of  
20           THOMAS PREVOZNIK, taken pursuant to  
21           notice, was held at the law offices of  
22           Williams & Connolly, 725 12th Street,  
23           Washington, D.C., beginning at 9:11 a.m.,  
24           on the above date, before Michelle L.  
25           Gray, a Registered Professional Reporter,  
26           Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certified  
27           Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public.

28                   -   -   -

29                   GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES  
30           877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax  
31                   deps@golkow.com

1 at a number and say that's too big.

2 MR. O'CONNOR: Whoever is on  
3 the phone needs to go on mute.

4 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Whoever is  
5 on the phone please mute your  
6 phone.

7 BY MR. O'CONNOR:

8 Q. Before we get back to my  
9 question, I just want to be clear.  
10 Are -- are vets required to obtain a DEA  
11 registration before they order controlled  
12 substances?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And the DEA issues some  
15 veterinarians registrations to allow them  
16 to purchase controlled substances?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Okay. I do -- I do want to  
19 get back to my original question though,  
20 which was, is an order that is unusually  
21 large, does that order necessarily lead  
22 to diversion?

23 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Objection.

24 Vague.

1 THE WITNESS: It may or  
2 may -- it may or may not.

3 BY MR. O'CONNOR:

4 Q. Would the same be true of an  
5 unusually frequent order?

6 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Same  
7 objection. You can answer.

8 THE WITNESS: Correct. It  
9 may or may not.

10 BY MR. O'CONNOR:

11 Q. And the same would be true  
12 of an order that deviates substantially  
13 from the normal pattern?

14 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Same  
15 objection. You can answer.

16 THE WITNESS: Correct. It  
17 may or may not.

18 BY MR. O'CONNOR:


19 Q. Okay. And putting that  
20 together, that means that not every  
21 suspicious order leads to diversion,  
22 correct?

23 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Objection.  
24 Scope. You can answer.

1  
2 CERTIFICATE  
3  
4

5 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the  
6 witness was duly sworn by me and that the  
7 deposition is a true record of the  
8 testimony given by the witness.

9 It was requested before  
10 completion of the deposition that the  
11 witness, THOMAS PREVOZNIK, have the  
12 opportunity to read and sign the  
13 deposition transcript.

14  
15   
16 MICHELLE L. GRAY,  
17 A Registered Professional  
18 Reporter, Certified Shorthand  
19 Reporter, Certified Realtime  
20 Reporter and Notary Public  
21 Dated: April 22, 2019  
22  
23  
24

(The foregoing certification  
of this transcript does not apply to any  
reproduction of the same by any means,  
unless under the direct control and/or  
supervision of the certifying reporter.)